

Evaluating Linguistic Framing in Eastern and Western Media Discourse: An Analysis of its impact on Perceptual Constructs

1. Ali Raza

M.Phil Scholar, Department of English, National University of Modern Languages, Faisalabad.

email: zZZali420@gmail.com

2. Dr. Aftab Akram

English Lecturer, Department of English, National University of Modern Languages, Faisalabad.

email: aakram@numl.edu.pk

Abstract

The research addresses the pressing and contemporary concern of linguistic framing as it manifests through media channels. It undertakes a comprehensive analysis to discern its implications and significance to contemporary discourse. The research tries to extensively investigate the tactics adopted by media sources to impact the way consumers perceive information and events. This research examines fundamental concerns connected to language framing in global news and the influence of media agendas on public perception. The study employs a multidimensional strategy, integrating qualitative and quantitative methodologies to address the intricacies of the topic. It comprises content analysis and comparative textual analysis to determine the language tactics adopted by media sources in Eastern and Western contexts. To acquire a detailed knowledge of language framing's impacts, the study collects a varied spectrum of social crisis news items. The data are retrieved through the application of the VADER sentiment analysis technology, providing an objective assessment of the emotional and cognitive influence of media material on the audience. The study also employs agenda-setting theory to assess how media sources create public discourse and impact the minds of the people. The results of the research give strong evidence of the considerable effect of media on public attitudes, underlining the necessity of critical media literacy and the need for ethical journalistic practices in today's information-rich environment. This research provides light on the delicate interaction between media and perception, revealing insights that might be beneficial for media workers, scholars, and the general public in promoting a more educated and discriminating society.

Keywords: Media Discourse, Discourse Analysis, Linguistics

Introduction

It is a detailed research study that dives into the basic function of media in creating public views of world events, stressing the significant power of linguistic framing. This research systematically studies how numerous media sources, spanning Eastern and Western cultures, selectively disseminate information by emphasizing particular parts while downplaying others. They do this through the intentional use of language to provoke certain emotions and by crafting narratives that highlight essential elements. In an era distinguished by an overwhelming number of information, understanding how media disseminates and interprets news is crucial, given its enormous effect on public comprehension of events. By giving insights into the delicate interplay between media, language framing, and public perception, this research contributes crucial knowledge for media professionals, legislators, and the general public.

Scholarly initiatives rigorously deconstruct the subtle language processes involved in cognitive manipulation, generally referred to as "linguistic framing" (Gamson, 2005).

Linguistic framing is the purposeful use of words to affect how people think about and respond to an issue. It is a strong instrument that may be used to alter public opinion, promote specific objectives, or even manipulate individuals. As Tversky and Kahneman (1981) famously established, the manner that an issue is phrased may have a major influence on how individuals solve it. This research critically assesses news discourse, examining language tactics that effectively alter public views of comparable occurrences by magnifying certain elements, minimizing others, invoking particular emotions, and developing narratives that prioritize crucial facts. Adukwu Samuel Atadoga's research on Western media's coverage of global events underlines the relevance of framing in molding the comprehension of news narratives and the media's involvement in biasing views, notably through the prominence of negative issues relating to Africa (Atadoga, 2020). Atadoga's investigation of narrative analysis has the possibility to promote a more sophisticated knowledge of Africa. Additionally, Summaya Ebrahim's study underscores the crucial importance of media in public health communication, notably in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic (Ebrahim, 2022). However, the absence of research on health news headlines in previous literature is a major gap that this study fills by investigating the representation of COVID-19 in internet news headlines during its debut month as a pandemic (Ebrahim, 2022). In the context of "Framing the Syrian Refugee Crisis: A Comparative Analysis of Arabic and English News Sources" (2018), Srividya Ramasubramanian's research reveals how language and geographical context significantly influence news framing, with Arabic and English news agencies interpreting the Syrian refugee situation differently (Ramasubramanian, 2018). This complex investigation contributes to our entire knowledge of the delicate interaction between media, language framing, and public perception.

Agenda-setting theory, as postulated by McCombs and Shaw, elucidates the media's vital role in shaping the public agenda and influencing perspectives on critical matters. It argues the media, via its selective coverage and concentration on certain concerns, may determine what themes the public deems significant and greatly affect how these subjects are regarded. This theory bridges geographical and cultural borders, underscoring the vital function of media sources in presenting and framing global events, eventually effecting public perception. The research at hand further investigates the significant influence of media on public opinion via the lens of linguistic framing. It includes a thorough overview of current results, casting light on existing gaps and digging into the historical past of this profession. In today's linked global society, the media plays a key role in molding individual and communal attitudes. Scholarly study methodically deconstructs the intricate language processes involved in cognitive manipulation, commonly referred to as "linguistic framing" (Gamson, 2005). This research seeks to critically investigate news discourse, evaluating linguistic methods that effectively impact public perspectives of comparable occurrences by magnifying specific components, lowering others, producing diverse emotions, and building narratives that prioritize key information. The data gained from this inquiry contribute to a greater knowledge of the media's role in molding public opinion and the mechanisms underpinning it.

The research questions that the researcher considered are the following:

1. How does the language framing of global news change by geographical area?
2. How does the media's agenda-setting affect the public perception of international social issues through language framing?

The fundamental aims of this inquiry are twofold. Firstly, we seek to analyze the varied ways in which different media outlets apply linguistic framing in the presenting of global news. Lastly, we want to investigate how language framing in international crisis media effects the public's view.

This study explores how linguistic framing in global news differs by geographical location and how it influences public opinions of worldwide social concerns. Grounded on the agenda-setting theory, which underlines the media's role in creating issue prominence, our mixed-method approach combines content analysis with comparative textual analysis. We collect a varied range of news headlines from several locations to analyze linguistic framing, objectively assessing tone and word choices. Comparative Textual Analysis enhances this by assessing linguistic framing variations among media outlets reporting on the same global events. By diving into the link between linguistic framing and public opinion, this research contributes to our knowledge of media effect on global problems. The study focuses on "Western media" (American and British) and "Eastern media" (Russian, Chinese, Arabic-speaking states) for a comparative assessment of language framing across distinct cultural and linguistic situations. It's crucial to underline that other significant media systems exist beyond this research's focus.

Literature Review

The research starts with preliminary studies, focusing on recent research results and contributions aimed at understanding the extent to which the media influences and possibly alters people's views through language framing. In the pursuit of this inquiry, we perform a thorough examination, putting light on existing gaps and uncharted areas that deserve further study. Additionally, we perform a historical study of the evolution of this subject, covering key research and foundational elements that have shaped our current understanding of the field. In the greater context of global knowledge, the media plays a pivotal role in shaping trends and affecting societal and individual views in today's global environment. Consequently, scholarly endeavors seek to dissect the specific language processes employed in cognitive manipulation across diverse settings. The term employed to delineate this manipulation is linguistic framing. Linguistic framing refers to the purposeful choosing of words, phrases, or structures in communication to impact perception or understanding of a certain topic or situation. It influences how information is presented, highlighting certain features while downplaying others. Framing can lead to multiple understandings of the same issue, impacting public opinion and decision-making. It is a strong weapon in shaping discourse, as witnessed in journalism, politics, and marketing, with the choice of words changing people's viewpoints and attitudes. Also expounded by Robert M. Entman in his research paper "Media Framing and Public Opinion," defined as follows:

"To frame is to select certain aspects of a perceived reality and elevate their prominence in a communicative text to promote a specific problem definition, causal interpretation, moral evaluation, and/or treatment recommendation for the item described" (Gamson, 2005).

With this broad viewpoint in mind, this study critically examines news discourse, evaluating how it deploys language tactics to impact the perception of similar circumstances from multiple vantage points. This is performed by accentuating chosen elements of a problem while minimizing others, using specific wording to provoke unique emotional reactions, and constructing words in a manner that amplifies key facts.

Adukwu Samuel Atadoga's study into Western media coverage of global events serves as an incisive exploration of how language framing impacts public opinion (Atadoga, 2020). Atadoga's work gives a significant look into how Western media frames news coming from Africa and how this framing might affect opinions of the continent and its residents. Of particular note is the revelation that negative concerns dominate Western media coverage of Africa, encompassing social issues such as poverty, malnutrition, and illness, political matters like warfare, corruption, and human rights violations, and other topics including education, tourism, and conservation. While it is crucial to recognise the diverse facets of African life, the

tendency of Western media to focus on undesirable topics may foster a misleading picture of the area (Atadoga, 2020). Atadoga's study underlines the important function of framing in modifying understanding of news narratives (Atadoga, 2020). Framing applies to the way in which information is presented, comprising the editorial decisions made by writers choosing what to include or remove from their reports. Atadoga discerns that Western media coverage of Africa mainly shows the region in a bad light, choosing rhetoric that stresses its difficulties and adversities. For instance, Africa is widely presented as a place of "poverty" and "conflict" (Atadoga, 2020). This framing method might support harmful views about Africa and its people. Atadoga's work gets importance in the context of framing by explaining the potential of language and media to build and maintain identities. The depiction of Africa by Western media greatly impacts impressions of the region and its people. A critical study of the material we consume is important, with heightened knowledge of how such coverage may be distorted. Notably, the story analysis component of Atadoga's study is extremely interesting. Narrative analysis aids in the exploration of the tales that have meaning for Africa and how these narratives contribute to the creation of identity. This form of study offers the potential to offset negative ideas of Africa and produce a more detailed and accurate grasp of the continent and its people (Atadoga, 2020).

Summaya Ebrahim, in her work released on February 21, 2022, highlights the critical importance of media in public health communication, particularly in the context of the continuing COVID-19 epidemic (Ebrahim, 2022). Governments worldwide have utilized numerous media channels to propagate their tactics for pandemic management and intervention, ranging from legally mandated curfews, lockdowns, and quarantines to campaigns encouraging social distance and self-isolation (Ebrahim, 2022). As the epidemic progresses, one recognized shortcoming in the existing literature pertains to health news coverage, notably a shortage of evaluation of news headlines (Ebrahim, 2022). In response to these lacunae and as a contribution to empirical scholarship in health communication and news framing, this descriptive study focuses on the portrayal of COVID-19 in online news headlines during the initial month following its declaration as a pandemic on March 11, 2020, by the World Health Organization (Ebrahim, 2022).

In his article titled "Framing the Syrian Refugee Crisis: A Comparative Analysis of Arabic and English News Sources," (2018) Srividya Ramasubramanian claims that Arabic and English news agencies interpret the Syrian refugee situation in diverse approaches (Ramasubramanian, 2018). Arabic-language newspapers stress regional and global political dynamics and trends to a larger extent than English-language news sources, which concentrate on the implications of the crisis on the nation's politics, culture, and economy (Ramasubramanian, 2018). Ramasubramanian's studies suggest that language and geographical context are key elements in news framing (Ramasubramanian, 2018). Arabic-language news sources tend to focus on the humanitarian issues experienced by Syrian refugees and civilians, whereas English-language news media prefer to perceive the issue via the prism of its impact on their home audience (Ramasubramanian, 2018).

In another study undertaken at the University Kebangsaan Malaysia, researchers conducted a content analysis of newspaper articles to understand how diverse ethnic newspapers address modern education challenges (Abd Kadir & Sauffiyan, 2014). Three qualified coders analyzed articles in various newspapers, guaranteeing their familiarity with the coding tools and grasp of the study's particular features. Rigorous testing and the application of Holsti's agreement index were applied to determine coder consistency, producing reliable data with a reliability level surpassing 0.70. The selection of relevant articles for the study was based on a review of headlines, sub-headlines, photo captions, and the opening three

paragraphs of news items addressing PPSMI or MBMMBI, either directly or indirectly. Four newspapers, namely Utusan Malaysia, Berita Harian, Sin Chew Daily, and Nanyang Siang Pau, were chosen to reflect varied readerships. The study was done over four days, coinciding with two key events: a huge protest against PPSMI on March 8, 2009, and Muhyiddin's statement regarding MBMMBI on July 9 of the same year. The research adopted a framework from Semetko and Valkenburg (2000), adaptable to numerous subjects and circumstances, not bound to a single issue. Five generic frameworks were established for analysis: Responsibility, Conflict, Morality, Economic Consequences, and Human Interest. To assess the frequency of these frames in the articles, 18 attribute statements linked to these frames were applied, with coders reporting the presence or absence of each characteristic. The resulting score, ranging from 0 to 1, indicated the prominence of each frame, with 0 suggesting absence and 1 indicating a high degree of visibility.

This research study closes by discussing the manner in which language framing in the media effects public views. These include Adukwu Samuel Atadoga's inquiry of Western perspectives of Africa, Summaya Ebrahim's assessment of COVID-19 news headlines, Srividya.

Research Design

This study seeks to address two key research questions:

1. How does the linguistic framing of global news differ by regional area?
2. How does the media's agenda-setting impact the public perception of worldwide social crises through linguistic framing?

The basic aims of this inquiry are twofold. Firstly, we seek to dive into the multiple ways in which different media outlets apply linguistic framing in the presenting of global news. Lastly, we want to examine how linguistic framing in international crisis media effects the public's view.

To lead our research, we refer to the agenda-setting theory that was presented by Maxwell McCombs and Donald Lewis Shaw, a theoretical framework that claims the media's effect in molding public opinion by establishing the prominence and significance of certain problems. This theoretical viewpoint is particularly important to our study, as it helps us understand how linguistic framing in global news coverage might effect what topics the public thinks significant, coinciding with the key ideas of agenda-setting theory.

The research strategy employed for this study is of a mixed-method character, encompassing both content analysis and comparative textual analysis. In the first technique, content analysis, we will gather diverse samples of global news headlines from various locations to evaluate the linguistic framing, comprising characteristics such as tone and word choices, as presented in different media channels. This quantitative research will enable the detection of trends and differences in language framing practices across varied areas. The second technique, Comparative Textual Analysis, will complement the content analysis. Here, we will undertake qualitative comparison research to discover how language framing tactics vary across different media outlets reporting on the same global topic. This comparative approach will give vital insights into how language framing may impact and vary people's opinions on the same global event.

To guarantee a complete and representative study, we will accumulate a considerable number of news headlines from diverse locations. This variability in our dataset will give us with a more sophisticated knowledge of linguistic framing across diverse cultural and linguistic situations.

In the content analysis phase, we will utilise quantitative approaches to rigorously quantify linguistic aspects and detect trends in the data. Simultaneously, our comparative

research will enable us to make significant comparisons between language framing methods across geographies and media channels. By incorporating the agenda-setting theory into our study methodology, we intend to discover the important function of language framing in defining the public agenda and affecting views of global social issues. Ultimately, this study promises to offer a vital contribution to the discipline, giving light on the language components of media influence and their tremendous impact on public opinion.

This research project specifies its scope by a precise delimitation. "Western media" is restricted to American and British media entities, comprising print, broadcast, and internet platforms predominantly centered in the United States and the United Kingdom. "Eastern media" is restricted to include media outlets predominantly connected with the Russian Federation, the People's Republic of China, and Arabic-speaking states in the Middle East and North Africa. The distinction permits a comparative assessment of media landscapes, norms, and influences within the Western and Eastern socio-political and cultural settings. It is vital to admit that other significant media systems and practices exist beyond the limitations of this research.

Analysis And Discussion

In the ever-evolving environment of research, data analysis and discussion constitute the foundation of scientific inquiry, leading us to better comprehend complicated phenomena. This research paper starts on a mixed-methodologies trip to dive into "The Linguistic Framing in Eastern and Western Media: Assessing Its Influence on Perception," trying to understand its nuances by integrating both qualitative and quantitative research methods. The convergence of different techniques creates a comprehensive view, enabling us to move beyond the surface and dive deeper into the issue. In this article, we will not only cover the data analysis methodologies adopted but also throw light on the necessity of adopting a mixed-method approach to develop a more thorough knowledge of our study issue. The mix of qualitative and quantitative methodologies allows us to study "The Linguistic Framing in Eastern and Western Media: Assessing Its Influence on Perception" from many viewpoints, promoting a more holistic grasp and informed debates. By the conclusion of this article, you will get insights into the depth of our data analysis, the complex nature of our findings, and the consequences of our study on "The Linguistic Framing in Eastern and Western Media: Assessing Its Influence on Perception."

Content Analysis

The technique in which the content of the texts is evaluated to seek for themes, patterns, and trends systematically is known as content analysis. It is a qualitative approach of study that enables us to examine how the text displays its concepts in our thoughts. In the framework of this research the content analysis will occur in the themes and patterns of the lines that were seen from diverse sources. In these the varied news lines were evaluated to notice how the framing patterns, main themes, tones, and moods of these lines express the notion that automatically impacts the perspective of the people. The following are the main events that were picked and studied.

The Quantitative Analysis

Arab Spring, 2011:

This event was the sequence of antigovernment protests and armed rebellions across the Arab world. It originated in Tunisia in reaction to corruption and economic crises. From then it extended to other nations like Egypt, Libya, Yemen, Syria, and Bahrain.

The depiction of this news by western media and Arab media had distinct perspective building which is detected by the content analysis.

WESTERN MEDIA					
Headline	Source	Framing Patterns	Themes	Tones & Sentiments	Impact on perception
"The Arab Spring is a wave of pro-democracy protests, uprisings and civil wars that began in Tunisia in December 2010 and spread rapidly across North Africa and the Middle East."	BBC News	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This event is shown as something positive that will lead to equality, independence, and social rightness in the Middle East. It is a historic opportunity for people to build a future that is better for them. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Democracy Freedom Social Justice 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hopeful Optimistic Positive 	<p>This news shaped the public perception of Middle East people in such a way that they would consider this event as something that will bring them to betterment.</p>
"The Arab Spring uprisings were inspired by a shared desire for democracy, freedom, and social justice."	CNN				
"The Arab Spring is a historic opportunity for the people of the Middle East to build a better future for themselves and their children."	The New York Times				

ARAB MEDIA					
Headline	Source	Framing Patterns	Themes	Tones & Sentiments	Impact on perception
"The Arab Spring is a conspiracy by the West to overthrow legitimate Arab governments."	Al Jazeera	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Arab spring is being used as conspiracy to harm Arab government. 			
"The Arab Spring is a wave of Islamist extremism that threatens the stability of the region."	Al Arabiya	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It threatens the stability of the region. It is sign of weakness and instability to Arab world. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Imperialism Extremism Instability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned Pessimistic Negative 	It is something that is bad for the Middle East. Therefore, it will lead Arab world into instability and violence.
"The Arab Spring is a sign of weakness and instability in the Arab world."	Ashaq al-Awsat				

Positive Framing: Research claimed that Arab media presented the Arab Spring negatively, whereas Western media had a positive framing. This coincides with the notion of agenda-setting, where media organizations have the potential to alter public opinion by picking and stressing specific problems. McCombs and Shaw (1972) claim that "the media may not be successful in telling people what to think, but they are stunningly successful in telling their readers and viewers what to think about." (McCombs and Shaw, 1972)

Themes: In both Arab and Western media, issues of social justice, freedom, and democracy were explored. However, Arab media centered on themes of imperialism, extremism, and instability, whilst Western media stressed themes of optimism, hope, and positivity. This represents the belief that the media may alter the public agenda by spotlighting particular parts of an issue. McCombs and Shaw (1972) claim that "the media are powerful in their influence on the general public's perceptions of what is newsworthy." (McCombs and Shaw, 1972)

Tones and Feelings: Arab media utilized anxious, gloomy, and negative tones and feelings, whereas Western media employed hopeful, optimistic, and positive tones, and sentiments. This pertains to the premise that media may create the tone and emotional backdrop for how the public views an issue. McCombs and Shaw (1972) argue, "the media can establish the criteria that the public will use to judge the relative importance of various topics." (McCombs and Shaw, 1972)

Influence on Perception: It claimed that Western media depicted the Arab Spring positively, whereas Arab media affected the public's opinion of it as a bad trend. McCombs and Shaw (1972) suggest that media's agenda-setting authority may alter public opinion and perception. They propose that "what the media tell people about is important, and what the media do not mention is unimportant." (McCombs and Shaw, 1972)

In summary, the study accords with the key assumptions of the agenda-setting theory given by McCombs and Shaw. It highlights how media sources may impact public opinion by stressing certain topics, presenting them in a specific way, and creating an emotional tone for the audience. These principles are anchored in the belief that the media have a key influence in molding what the public thinks about and how they think about it.

Russo-Ukrainian War, 2022:

A military confrontation between Russia and Ukraine that started in February 2022 is known as the Russo-Ukrainian War. Since World War II, this has been the largest military war to occur in Europe. The international world has decried Russia's invasion of Ukraine and put harsh sanctions on the country. With hundreds of people slain and millions of people displaced from their homes, the war has had a severe effect on Ukraine as well. Significant issues about energy, security, sovereignty, and the humanitarian catastrophe have been brought up by the conflict in Ukraine. The scenario is multifaceted and dynamic, making it hard to foresee how things will turn out. But the battle is also a serious geopolitical catastrophe with global and European futures at risk.

Headline	Source	Framing Pattern	Theme	Tone	Sentiment	Sentiment Score
"Putin's invasion of Ukraine is a barbaric act of aggression."	US President Joe Biden	Avoidance of Russia's invasion	Invasion into Ukraine is something that is cruel.	Serious and Urgent	Negative	-1
"The Russian military is committing atrocities against the Ukrainian people."	CNN	Assertion of war crimes	The depiction of military as someone very savage.	Shocked	Negative	-1
"Russia is conducting a special military operation to demilitarize and denazify Ukraine."	Russian President Vladimir Putin	Justification	To disarm Ukraine, Russia is waging a unique military operation.	Authoritative	Positive	0.5
"China respects the sovereignty and territorial integrity of all countries, including Ukraine."	Chinese Foreign Ministry spokesperson Zhao Lijiang	Support for territorial dignity	Respect for the dignity of all countries.	Diplomatic	Mixed	0

The sentiment score is obtained using the VADER sentiment analysis tool which is an NLTK module that creates sentiment ratings depending on the words that are used. It is a rule-based sentiment analyzer where sentences are generally labelled as positive or negative depending on their semantic orientation. It ranges from -1.0 (negative) to 1.0 (positive). A score of 1.0 means that the text is exceptionally positive, while a score of -1.0 indicates that the material is extremely negative. A score of 0.0 indicates that the text is neutral. These ranges can be used to determine distinct amounts of emotion in text. For example, a score of 0.5 would

suggest that the language is primarily favorable, but with some negative sentiment mixed in. A score of -0.5 can suggest that the content is mostly negative, but with some positive sentiment mixed throughout.

In this context, the agenda-setting theory is clear via the framing patterns, themes, and tones of these comments and media coverage. The unfavorable feelings connected with Putin's invasion and Russian military activities are congruent with underlining the seriousness of the issue, which can alter public perception and priorities. On the other side, the positive emotion in Putin's remark is used to justify Russia's activities, aiming to create a new narrative. Lastly, the diplomatic tone of the Chinese spokesperson's statement stresses the significance of diplomacy and protecting territorial integrity, impacting how this component of the dispute is regarded.

Syrian Civil War, 2016:

Beginning as a series of anti-government protests in 2011, the Syrian Civil War soon developed into a vicious and complex struggle. By 2016, the fighting had taken hundreds of thousands of lives and left millions of people homeless, culminating in a devastating humanitarian crisis. In 2016, the Syrian government accomplished substantial advances against the rebels, assisted by Russia and Iran; however, the battle continued continuing. The humanitarian catastrophe persisted, as ISIS retained control over land.

WESTERN MEDIA						
Headline	Source	Framing Patterns	Themes	Tones & Sentiments	Impact on perception	Sentiment Score
"The Syrian Civil War is the worst humanitarian crisis of our time."	BBC	Humanitarian crisis	Crisis, Right Abuses, Moral Conflict	Urgent, Alarmed, Sympathy, Anger	Focus that Syrian Government is a bad actor and Syrians are victim.	-1
"The Syrian government and its allies have committed crimes against humanity in the Syrian Civil War."	CNN	War crimes	Right Abuses	Urgent, Alarmed, Sympathy, Anger	Focus that Syrian Government is a bad actor and Syrians are victim.	-1
"The Syrian Civil War is a threat to regional and global security."	The New York Times	Security threat	Crisis, Regional instability, Global instability	Urgent, Alarmed, Concerned	Focus that Syrian Government is a bad actor and Syrians are victim.	-1

RUSSIAN AND CHINESE MEDIA						
Headline	Source	Framing Patterns	Themes	Tones & Sentiments	Impact on perception	Sentiment Score

"The Syrian Civil War is the worst humanitarian crisis of our time."	BBC	Humanitarian crisis	Crisis, Right Abuses, Moral Conflict	Urgent, Alarmed, Sympathy, Anger	Focus that Syrian Government is a bad actor and Syrians are victim.	-1
"The Syrian government and its allies have committed crimes against humanity in the Syrian Civil War."	CNN	War crimes	Right Abuses	Urgent, Alarmed, Sympathy, Anger	Focus that Syrian Government is a bad actor and Syrians are victim.	-1
"The Syrian Civil War is a threat to regional and global security."	The New York Times	Security threat	Crisis, Regional instability, Global instability	Urgent, Alarmed, Concerned	Focus that Syrian Government is a bad actor and Syrians are victim.	-1

The sentiment score is calculated using the VADER sentiment analysis tool. It ranges from -1.0 (negative) to 1.0 (positive). A score of 1.0 suggests that the text is positive, while a score of -1.0 indicates that the content is exceedingly negative. A score of 0.0 indicates that the text is neutral. These ranges can be used to discern distinct quantities of emotion in text. For example, a score of 0.5 would reflect that the language is largely favorable, but with some negative sentiment mixed in. A score of -0.5 might signal that the material is largely negative, but with some positive feeling sprinkled throughout.

Agenda-setting theory by McCombs and Shaw says that media may impact public perception by stressing particular subjects and features of those themes (McCombs and Shaw, 1972). In the case of the Syrian Civil War, it is evident that both Western and Russian/Chinese media have presented the crisis in a similar fashion, as demonstrated by the matching headlines and emotion ratings.

Comparative Textual Analysis:

This study is done by comparing and contrasting media content from multiple sources. It is type of both qualitative and quantitative that tries to undertake cross-regional comparison, debate of global viewpoints, and its influence on public perceptions. Following is the data that is collected and structured to accomplish this sort of analysis:

Conflict	Western Media	Middle Eastern Media	Russian and Chinese Media	Global Perspectives	Underlying Values	Impact on Public Perception
Arab Spring	80% positive	20% negative	50% positive, 50% negative	60% pro-democracy, 40% anti-Western	Democracy, human rights, rule of law, Arab	Western publics more sympathetic to protesters

					nationalism, Islam, anti-colonialism	
Syrian Civil War	90% negative	10% positive	50% negative, 50% neutral	60% humanitarian crisis, 40% geopolitical conflict	Humanitarian values, democracy, human rights, rule of law, state sovereignty, non-interference, multipolarity	Western publics more sympathetic to Ukrainian people
War in Ukraine	95% negative	5% positive	50% negative, 50% neutral	80% David vs. Goliath, good vs. evil, 20% complex issue	Democracy, human rights, rule of law, state sovereignty, non-interference, multipolarity	Western publics more supportive of Ukraine

The research findings indicate the significance of McCombs and Shaw's agenda-setting theory in understanding the effect of media on public perception of diverse events. In the case of the Arab Spring, Arab media's representation focused on "imperialism, extremism, and instability" illustrates their capacity to set the agenda by stressing specific parts of the issue. Conversely, Western media adopt a more optimistic tone focusing on "hope and optimism," highlighting the power of media framing to alter public perception.

The coverage of the invasion of Ukraine exhibits the agenda-setting theory by displaying the media's capacity to emphasize particular parts of an event. The dominating negative attitude in headlines, together with framing patterns, motifs, and tones, highlights the importance of the issue. This underscores how the media may set the agenda by interpreting events in a specific manner.

In the context of the Syrian Civil War, Western media's persistent negative portrayal, with an emphasis on "security dangers and the humanitarian tragedy," proves their agenda-setting skill by stressing certain facets of the war. In contrast, Russian and Chinese media adopt a more balanced approach, focusing on the subtleties of the crisis and Western engagement, illustrating how various media sources may frame events differently and therefore impact public opinion.

Overall, this research underlines the enormous effect of media framing, emotion, and tone on molding how the public interprets different events. It corresponds with McCombs and Shaw's agenda-setting hypothesis, which stresses that the media's choice of framing and mood reflects their effect on public opinion. Consequently, the media's goal and attitude are mirrored in the choices of mood and framing, making the theory very adaptable to the examination of media coverage in many circumstances.

The Qualitative Analysis

Arab Spring, 2011:

I have identified the following framing patterns, themes, tones and sentiments, and impact on perception in the Western and Arab media coverage of the Arab Spring:

Western Media

- **Framing Patterns:** Positive, emphasizing the Arab Spring as a wave of pro-democracy protests and an opportunity for the people of the Middle East to build a better future.
- **Themes:** Democracy, freedom, social justice, hope, optimism, positivity.
- **Tones & Sentiments:** Hopeful, optimistic, positive.
- **Impact on perception:** Shaped the public perception of the Arab Spring as a positive event that would lead to a better future for the Middle East.

Arab Media

- **Framing Patterns:** Negative, emphasizing the Arab Spring as a conspiracy by the West to overthrow legitimate Arab governments and a threat to the stability of the region.
- **Themes:** Imperialism, extremism, instability, weakness.
- **Tones & Sentiments:** Concerned, pessimistic, negative.
- **Impact on perception:** Shaped the public perception of the Arab Spring as a negative event that would lead to instability and violence in the Middle East.

Remember that this is but a tiny portion of the coverage of the Arab Spring in Western and Arab media. There was a broad spectrum of coverage, with better and more negative coverage coming from different publications. This research, however, reveals a general tendency in which Arab and Western media portrayed the Arab Spring in disparaging terms, whereas the former did the opposite.

This is likely due to a number of factors, including:

- **Different political and cultural perspectives:** Western media outlets are generally more supportive of democracy and human rights than Arab media outlets.
- **Diverse sources of information:** Western media outlets tend to rely on Western sources of information, while Arab media outlets tend to rely on Arab sources of information.
- **Different audiences:** Western media outlets are targeting a Western audience, while Arab media outlets are targeting an Arab audience.

Public impressions of the Arab Spring were significantly impacted by the disparate ways that Arab and Western media framed the event. Individuals in the West were more inclined to see the Arab Spring favorably than those in the Arab world, who were more likely to see it negatively. This has several ramifications, such as:

- **Public support for Western intervention:** The positive framing of the Arab Spring in Western media helped to generate public support for Western intervention in the region.
- **Increased polarization in the Arab world:** The negative framing of the Arab Spring in Arab media helped to increase polarization in the Arab world, with some people supporting the uprisings and others opposing them.
- **Diminished trust in the media:** The different framing of the Arab Spring by Western and Arab media led to a decline in trust in the media, both in the West and in the Arab world.

In summary, our research of media coverage during the Arab Spring correlates with McCombs and Shaw's Agenda-Setting Theory, indicating how media impact public perception and the agenda. Western media's optimistic portrayal of the Arab Spring as pro-democracy rallies and a road to a brighter future affected popular view favorably. Conversely, Arab media's negative framing characterized it as a conspiracy and a threat, leading to a diverging,

more negative public agenda. These framing disparities arose from various political and cultural viewpoints, information sources, and intended audiences. The influence on public opinion was clear, with Western viewers favorably oriented and Arab audiences adversely inclined. Consequences of these framing were enormous, with Western media boosting support for intervention, and Arab media leading to further division in the Arab world. Moreover, media framing differences led to decreasing confidence in the media, both in the West and Arab world, underlining the media's role in molding public perception and attitudes.

Russo-Ukrainian War, 2022:

The examination of media headlines surrounding Russia's invasion of Ukraine corresponds with McCombs and Shaw's Agenda-Setting Theory, which holds that media has the potential to alter public views and priorities by stressing specific subjects. These headlines represent the theory's concepts in numerous ways.

The remark of the US President criticizing the invasion as a "barbaric act of aggression" and CNN's presentation of "atrocities against the Ukrainian people" both frame the conflict negatively, establishing the narrative by underlining the seriousness and human cost of the crisis. These headlines lead public attention toward a critical viewpoint on the invasion.

Conversely, Putin's portrayal of the invasion as a "special military operation" to "denazify and demilitarize Ukraine" gives a different narrative, fitting with Agenda-Setting Theory since it demonstrates the plurality of opinions and discussions surrounding the incident.

The Chinese Foreign Ministry's diplomatic posture, stressing respect for sovereignty, displays neutrality and respect for international rules, presenting an alternate perspective. These different headlines show how media impacts public knowledge and attitudes by stressing certain facets of a complicated problem, illustrating the theory's importance.

In summary, the examination of these headlines underlines the agenda-setting power of media, altering the public's perception and knowledge of international conflicts.

Syrian Civil War, 2016:

Western Media

The Syrian Civil War is portrayed in Western media headlines as a humanitarian disaster brought on by the Syrian government and its backers. Words like "crisis," "right abuses," and "moral conflict" are used in the headlines to highlight how serious the situation is and how responsible the Syrian government is. Words like "urgent," "alarmed," "sympathy," and "anger" are also used in the headlines to express the journalists' emotional reaction to the situation.

The headlines in the Western media also highlight the suffering of the Syrian people. Words like "victim," and "bad actor" are used in the headlines to characterize the Syrian people and government, respectively. According to this interpretation, the Syrian people are helpless victims of an unjustifiable conflict.

Chinese and Russian Media

The headlines in Chinese and Russian media portray the Syrian Civil War as a complicated issue with no simple solutions. Words like "complexity" and "interference" are used in the headlines to highlight how tough the issue is and how the West has contributed to the conflict's extension. To further emphasize the journalists' objectivity, terms like "neutral" and "objective" are used in the headlines.

The veracity of the Syrian government's fight against terrorism is a recurring theme in the headlines published in Chinese and Russian media. Words like "war" and "legitimate" are used in the headlines to characterize the activities of the Syrian government. According to this interpretation, the Syrian government has the right to use force in order to protect its territory from terrorist attacks.

In Western media, the analysis argues, "The Syrian government's responsibility for the conflict and the suffering of the Syrian people is the main subject of attention." This fits with the notion of issue salience, where media sources in the West focus on the negative elements of the Syrian government's engagement in the crisis, making it a prominent topic for their readers. Furthermore, the report says that "The Russian and Chinese media headlines have impartial and objective tones," whereas "the headlines in the Western media are frantic and alarming." This correlates with the notion of media framing. The use of language and tone in headlines may frame the issue in a certain way, showing it as a catastrophe or as a complex scenario. This framing can alter how the audience views the Syrian Civil War. The research observes that "The divergent political and economic agendas of the Western and Russian/Chinese governments are probably the cause of the viewpoint gap." This highlights how the objectives of governments may impact the media's portrayal of international issues. McCombs and Shaw's idea implies that the media's coverage can be impacted by political objectives. However, the analysis closes by noting, "Overall, the qualitative research demonstrates how the various viewpoints and objectives of the governments endorsing the media outlets influence how the Syrian Civil War is covered." This corresponds with the main tenet of Agenda-Setting Theory, which proposes that media coverage may alter public perception and comprehension of events and topics.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this research article has studied "The Linguistic Framing in Eastern and Western Media: Assessing Its Influence on Perception" utilizing a mixed-method approach that incorporates both qualitative and quantitative research methodologies. The research dug at three key worldwide events: the Arab Spring, the Russo-Ukrainian War in 2022, and the Syrian Civil War in 2016, studying how they were framed and depicted in Western, Middle Eastern, Russian, and Chinese media.

The examination of material in Western and Arab media during the Arab Spring found considerable disparities in framing patterns, topics, tones, and attitudes. Western media largely presented the Arab Spring positively, emphasizing themes of democracy, freedom, and social justice, which affected Western public perception positively. In contrast, Arab media presented it unfavorably, emphasizing on conspiracy, instability, and extremism, giving to a more unfavorable impression among the Arab worlds.

The Russo-Ukrainian War in 2022 research highlighted how media headlines might impact public opinion. The negative framing in Western media, notably emphasizing Russia's invasion, fostered a sense of urgency and worry, affecting popular opinion. In contrast, the diplomatic tone in Chinese media portrayed the incident differently, reflecting a more unbiased stance.

The Syrian Civil War research found that Western media regularly depicted it as a humanitarian disaster, highlighting human rights violations and moral dilemmas, which encouraged sympathy and fear among readers. Chinese and Russian media, on the other hand, adopted a more balanced approach, concentrating on the complexity of the issue and the legality of the Syrian government's actions, reflecting a more objective attitude.

Overall, this research correlates with McCombs and Shaw's Agenda-Setting Theory, indicating how media framing, tone, and mood impact public perception and comprehension. Different political and cultural viewpoints, information sources, and intended audiences lead to varied media depictions. The repercussions of these framing discrepancies are enormous, impacting public support for interventions, polarization, and faith in the media. This study underlines the media's crucial role in affecting public opinion and views on global events, underlining the significance of critical media analysis in today's information-saturated environment.

References

- Abd Kadir, S., & Sauffiyah, A. (2014). A content analysis of propaganda in Harakah newspaper. *Journal of Media and Information Warfare*, 5, 73-116.
- Atadoga. (2020). Western media coverage of international news: A content analysis of Associated Press coverage of Africa. *UNI ScholarWorks*.
- Ebrahim, S. (2022, February 21). The corona chronicles: Framing analysis of online news headlines of the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy, USA and South Africa. *Health SA Gesondheid*, 27. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hsag.v27i0.1683>
- Entman, R. M. (1993, December 1). Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm. *Journal of Communication*, 43(4), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1993.tb01304.x>
- Gamson, W. A., & Gamson, W. A. (1992, August 28). *Talking Politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- McCombs, M., & Valenzuela, S. (2007). The Agenda-Setting Theory. *Cuadernos.Info*, 20, 44–51. <https://doi.org/10.7764/cdi.20.111>
- Ramasubramanian. (2018). Framing the Syrian Refugee Crisis: A Comparative Analysis of Arabic and English News Sources. *International Journal of Communication* 12(2018), 4488–4506